COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

<u>L.R. No.</u>: 0515-08

Bill No.: SS for SCS for HCS for HBs 144 & 46 with SA's 1 & 2

Subject: Crimes and Punishments; Law Enforcement Officers and Agencies; Prisons and

Jails; Department of Corrections; State Attorney General; Criminal Procedure

Type: Original Date: May 4, 2001

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004	
General Revenue Fund	(\$204,778)	(\$244,743)	(\$265,713)	
Highway Funds	(\$59,825)	(\$57,019)	\$0	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> State Funds	(\$264,603)	(\$301,762)	(\$265,713)	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004	
None				
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004	
Local Government	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 6 pages.

Bill No. SS for SCS for HCS FOR HBs 144 & 46 with SA's 1 & 2

Page 2 of 6 May 4, 2001

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Officials from the **Department of Health** and the **Office of State Courts Administrator** assume the proposed legislation would have no fiscal impact on their agencies.

In response to a similar proposal, officials from the **Department of Public Safety** – **Capitol Police**, **Department of Public Safety** – **Division of Fire Safety**, **Office of Prosecution Services**, and the **St. Louis Metropolitan Police Department** assume the proposed legislation would have no fiscal impact on their agencies.

Officials from the **Office of Attorney General** assume the costs of the proposed legislation could be absorbed within existing resources.

Law Enforcement Driver License and Motor Vehicle Records Confidential

Officials from the **Department of Revenue (DOR)** assume the Driver and Vehicle Services Bureau will require 2 Clerk Typist II positions to update confidential records, prepare correspondence and manually process all renewal and record modifications for these records.

The Driver and Vehicle Services Bureau currently maintains confidential records on 240 probation, parole and pretrial officers. This represents approximately 17% of the 1,500 probation, parole and pretrial officers throughout the state. Although there are 240 probation, parole and pretrial officers who have requested records to be marked confidential, this affects approximately 1,200 records because individuals may have multiple motor vehicle records. These records are maintained in a separate database and require special processing in order to ensure integrity and security in the confidentiality of the record information.

DOR has obtained information from the Department of Public Safety indicating that there are approximately 17,000 law enforcement officers statewide. The Driver and Vehicle Services Bureau assumes the same percent of law enforcement officers will request to have their records marked "confidential."

17,000 x 17% = 2,890 individuals who will request that their records be marked as "confidential." Factoring multiple motor vehicle registration records, the Driver and Vehicle Services Bureau assumes that this increased number of individuals who will request that their records be marked "confidential" will affect 14,450 records. **This represents an increased workload of 1,100%**.

<u>ASSUMPTION</u> (continued)

BLG:LR:OD (12/00)

Bill No. SS for SCS for HCS FOR HBs 144 & 46 with SA's 1 & 2

Page 3 of 6 May 4, 2001

Oversight assumes these employees can be located in existing space, and has adjusted the cost to DOR accordingly. DOR requested the additional employees to maintain a separate secured database pending security improvements to be added to the vehicle registration computer system, and expects to be able to provide the needed security for these registrations without the separate database when improvements to the vehicle licensing system are completed. Accordingly, Oversight has estimated fiscal impact for FY 2002 and FY 2003 only.

Consent for Chemical Testing

Officials from the **Department of Corrections (DOC)** assumes the changes to §575.230 are for drug and alcohol tests for drivers in certain circumstances. Instances for which testing is allowed is enhanced; however, no direct potential impact for the DOC can be assumed due to passage of this component of the proposal.

MULES and NCIC Records Checked before Release of Prisoners

Officials from the **Office of the State Public Defender** assume that existing staff could provide representation for those very few cases arising where indigent ex-jail employees were charged with failure to check for outstanding warrants before releasing a prisoner. However, passage of more than one similar bill would require the State Public Defender System to request increased appropriations to cover cumulative cost of representing the indigent accused in the additional cases.

Officials from the **Cole County Sheriff's Department** assumes the proposed legislation will require additional training for jail officers as well as an additional MULES/NCIC terminal in the jail for Cole County to comply with all aspects of the proposed legislation. The Cole County Sheriff's Department currently checks MULES/NCIC records prior before releasing prisoners.

Oversight assumes there will be no additional cost to the Cole County Sheriff's Department because the Department is currently complying with the provisions of the proposed legislation.

Officials from the **Department of Corrections (DOC)** assume they currently comply with the procedures for outstanding warrant inquiries (at the time of release of an offender) outlined within this proposal (outlined in §221.510). A further examination of current DOC procedures relating to passage of this proposal may reveal that some procedural enhancements would be beneficial for the department and this could result in some additional costs, but it is assumed that the impact would be \$0 or a minimal amount that could be absorbed within existing resources.

Bill No. SS for SCS for HCS FOR HBs 144 & 46 with SA's 1 & 2

Page 4 of 6 May 4, 2001

ASSUMPTION (continued)

Officials from the **Department of Public Safety - Missouri Highway Patrol (MHP)** assume the proposed legislation would affect every incarcerating agency in the state, which not only includes state institutions but also every county and city jail in the state. Based upon the legislation as written, MHP could make no meaningful estimations without making assumptions.

MHP assumes the Information Systems Division would require 2 Computer Information Technology Specialists (CITS) (\$41,136) FTE as a result of this legislation. These CITS would be responsible for the application support and maintenance of the existing MULES database.

Furthermore, MHP assumes all investigative work performed by the MHP would involve log tape scans looking for inquiries being mandated. There are no metrics available to ascertain the numbers of log tape scans that would be required. In the absence of metrics, MHP has taken the appropriate number of log tape scans executed last year for investigative purposes (600) and estimated that one half of those log scans were done looking for a specific inquiry name from specific terminals (300). The proposed legislation would, based on those calculations, result in one third of that figure (100). The average cost for this type of log tape scans at the State Data Center based upon November 2000 was \$1,244.25 (amounts ranging from \$1,632.99 to \$200.52). Taking the increased number (100) at \$1,244.25, the estimated annual recurring increased expense is \$124,425.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT TO GENERAL REVENUE FUND	<u>(\$204,778)</u>	<u>(\$244,743)</u>	(\$265,713)
Total Costs - MHP	(\$204,778)	(\$244,743)	(\$251,510)
Equipment and Expense	<u>(\$111,082)</u>	<u>(\$129,497)</u>	(133,382)
Fringe Benefits	(\$23,422)	(\$28,809)	(29,530)
Salaries (2 FTE)	(\$70,274)	(\$86,437)	(\$88,598)
Costs - Missouri Highway Patrol (MHP)			
GENERAL REVENUE FUND			
	(10 Mo.)		
FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004

Bill No. SS for SCS for HCS FOR HBs 144 & 46 with SA's 1 & 2

Page 5 of 6 May 4, 2001

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2002 (10 Mo.)	FY 2003	FY 2004
HIGHWAY FUNDS	(10 Mo.)		
<u>Costs</u> - Department of Revenue (DOR) Temporary Maintenance of Secured Database			
Personal Service (2 FTE)	(\$34,120)	(\$41,968)	\$0
Fringe Benefits	(\$11,372)	(\$13,988)	\$0
Equipment and Expenses	(\$14,333)	(\$1,063)	<u>\$0</u>
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON HIGHWAY FUNDS	<u>(\$59,825)</u>	<u>(\$57,019)</u>	<u>\$0</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2002 (10 Mo.)	FY 2003	FY 2004
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

DESCRIPTION

The proposed legislation would require law enforcement officers, jailers, and the Department of Corrections to conduct a check for outstanding felony and misdemeanor warrants, through the Missouri Uniform Law Enforcement System (MULES) and the National Crime Information Center (NCIC) System, on all prisoners, whether convicted or being held on suspicion of charges. Prisoners may not be released or transferred before such a records check has taken place. Violations of this section may be reported to the state attorney general's office and the county prosecuting attorney, who may then notify the state highway patrol for investigation. Any official, sheriff, or authorized member of the correctional facility of jail who fails to perform such check shall be guilty of an infraction.

Bill No. SS for SCS for HCS FOR HBs 144 & 46 with SA's 1 & 2

Page 6 of 6 May 4, 2001

DESCRIPTION

The proposal would prevent the Department of Revenue (DOR) from releasing the home address or any other information contained in the DOR's motor vehicle or driver registration records for any peace officer or any member of a parole officer's, pretrial officer's or peace officer's immediate family. Current law specifies any county, state, or federal parole officer or federal pretrial officer.

The proposal would also enhance the instances for which a motor vehicle operator is deemed to have given consent to chemical tests for drugs or alcohol.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Department of Health
Office of Prosecution Services
Office of State Courts Administrator
Office of the State Public Defender
Office of Attorney General
Department of Corrections
Department of Public Safety
Missouri Highway Patrol
Capitol Police
Division of Fire Safety
Department of Revenue
St. Louis Metropolitan Police Department
Cole County Sheriff's Department

Jeanne Jarrett, CPA

Director

May 4, 2001